

CONFIDENTIAL

AT Designations in the Unitary Plan

Recommendations

That the Board

- i. Pursuant to section 151(2) of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010 (Transitional Act), Auckland Transport decides that:
 - a. The recommendations of Auckland Council under section 148(4)(b) of the Transitional Act are accepted or rejected (in whole or in part) as set out in the attached Table 1;
 - b. Where any of Auckland Council's recommendations have been rejected (in whole or in part):
 - i. this will achieve Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA) and is appropriate having regard to the effects on the environment, the objectives of Auckland Transport, and the other matters set out in section 171(1) of the RMA; and
 - ii. specific reasons are set out in the attached Table 1 in accordance with section 151(4) of the Transitional Act.
 - c. Auckland Council shall be notified of Auckland Transport's decisions under section 151(2) of the Transitional Act by 30 September 2016.
- ii. In relation to Auckland Council's recommendation under section 148(4)(b) of the Transitional Act to withdraw the Eastern Transport Corridor designation 1620 from the Auckland Unitary Plan, Auckland Transport rejects this recommendation and retains this designation to protect the corridor for future multi-modal transport works.
- iii. The Chief Executive be delegated authority to finalise the Auckland Transport decision (consistent with the above resolution) and provide the decision to Auckland Council for notification by 30 September 2016.

Executive summary

The Auckland Unitary Plan Independent Hearings Panel (IHP) has provided recommendations to Auckland Council (Council) on Auckland Transport (AT) designations included within the Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan (PAUP). These recommendations have been adopted as recommendations of the Council and notified to AT for its decision as the Requiring Authority.

Pursuant to the Transitional Act, AT must consider the Council's recommendations and notify the Council of its decision with respect to each designation by 30 September 2016. AT may either accept or reject, in whole or in part, each recommendation. The Council is required to notify

CONFIDENTIAL

submitters and owners / occupiers of land to which the designation relates of AT's decision within 15 working days after it receives the decision (21 October 2016 if AT notifies the Council of its decision on 30 September 2016).

Of the 260 designations included within the PAUP, over half attracted no submissions and are not therefore subject to a recommendation from the IHP/Council. These designations do not require a decision from AT, are not open to appeal, and will be retained in the Unitary Plan as notified.

The IHP and the Council made recommendations in respect of 109 designations which require a decision by AT, of which two are recommendations for removal of the designation. There were a further 3 designations where it was unclear from the IHP/Council recommendation whether a decision was required from AT. Final legal review indicated that a decision by AT would provide clarity to Council.

Recommendations in respect of each designation which require a decision by AT are set out in Table 1 (attached). This includes, but is not limited to, rejecting recommendations to:

- alter existing parking facility noise conditions;
- change designation lapse periods to fixed expiry dates; and
- remove the existing Eastern Transport Corridor designation.

AT's decision on designations may be appealed to the Environment Court under certain circumstances. It is anticipated that the appeals period will run from 21 October to 5 December 2016.

Strategic context

AT is responsible for the planning, development and management of Auckland's transport system to deliver the vision of the Auckland Plan. Designations are a key enabler of the transport system and serve to provide legal protection of land for current operational purposes (e.g. parking facilities) and for future strategic development (e.g. road widening designations and corridor protection). Protection of land to develop our transport networks is increasingly important given the scale and pace of growth challenge facing Auckland. Designations serve to clearly indicate a future requirement and can be used to provide a measure of certainty in development areas.

Background

AT inherited some 400 designations from legacy councils through the 2010 amalgamation. These designations were reviewed during 2011/12 for consistency with legislation and fit with AT's objectives. Following this review, 260 designations were included within the PAUP as notified in September 2013. This included 37 notices of requirement for designations over existing parking facilities.

Staff provided evidence at the PAUP hearings in support of designations that attracted submissions and actively worked with submitters to mitigate concerns raised. This resulted in the removal of a further 19 designations, and agreements for minor modifications to some others.

CONFIDENTIAL

The IHP then considered these amended designations and provided recommendations to Council. The Council effectively adopted the IHP's recommendations in full and notified those recommendations to AT on 19 August 2016.

Of the 241 remaining designations, over half were not modified by AT and did not attract a submission. They are not therefore subject to a recommendation or open to further challenge. 107 are recommended for modification or minor correction and 2 are recommended for removal.

There were a further 3 designations where it was unclear from the IHP/Council recommendation whether a decision was required from AT. Final legal review has indicated that a decision by AT would provide clarity to Council.

Issues and options

As the requiring authority, AT may accept or reject, in whole or in part, the recommendations of the IHP / Council. The majority of proposed designations were not modified and did not attract submissions and were not therefore subject to recommendations from the IHP / Council. These will be retained in the Unitary Plan as notified and are not open to further challenge.

Staff considered the recommendations of the IHP / Council and the recommended decision in respect of each designation, and the reasons for each, are set out in Table 1 (attached).

These recommendations are supported by evidence produced through the PAUP hearing process, including expert evidence produced on behalf of AT, and have regard to the relevant statutory considerations under section 171(1) of the RMA.

Section 171(1) of the RMA states:

When considering a requirement and any submissions received, a territorial authority must, subject to Part 2, consider the effects on the environment of allowing the requirement, having particular regard to—

(a) any relevant provisions of—

(i) a national policy statement:

(ii) a New Zealand coastal policy statement:

(iii) a regional policy statement or proposed regional policy statement:

(iv) a plan or proposed plan; and

(b) whether adequate consideration has been given to alternative sites, routes, or methods of undertaking the work if—

(i) the requiring authority does not have an interest in the land sufficient for undertaking the work; or

(ii) it is likely that the work will have a significant adverse effect on the environment; and

CONFIDENTIAL

(c) whether the work and designation are reasonably necessary for achieving the objectives of the requiring authority for which the designation is sought; and

(d) any other matter the territorial authority considers reasonably necessary in order to make a recommendation on the requirement.

The majority of the recommended rejections and modifications relate to the following:

Lapse periods (46 Designations) - the IHP / Council has recommended that lapse periods (that begin once appeals are settled) are replaced with expiry dates (that begin once a decision is notified). It is recommended that these modifications be rejected as this may mean that delays in making the PAUP operative may have the unintended effect of shortening the period in which the designations can be given effect to.

Noise conditions (35 Designations) – the IHP / Council have recommended modification to noise conditions attached to parking facility designations. It is recommended that these modifications be rejected as the proposed conditions would be operationally difficult to comply with (changing four times over the course of each: weekday, Saturday, and Sunday and Public Holiday) and were not sought by any submitter. Noise effects are considered to be appropriately mitigated under the existing conditions.

Eastern Transport Corridor – the IHP / Council, has recommended removal of the designation that protects the area previously identified for the Eastern Transport Corridor. It is recommended that this designation be retained and the IHP's recommendation rejected. The purpose of this designation is to protect the corridor for future transport works that have not yet been completed. A condition of the designation requires that, if AT decides to progress transport works, it would need to withdraw the designation and replace it with a Notice of Requirement which would be publicly notified.

The majority of the designated land is in public ownership apart from a small section owned by the Outdoor Boating Club (OBC) at 7 Tamaki Drive. Only four submissions were received: one in favour and three opposed, including opposition from the OBC. One submitter withdrew and only the OBC provided evidence at the hearing. AT remains in discussion with the OBC.

A new road link within the eastern corridor was assessed during the Auckland Transport Alignment Project (ATAP) which concluded that it would not be cost effective in the next 30 years. However, given Auckland's ongoing growth, ATAP concluded that it would be prudent to retain existing corridor protection for future transport works.

Next steps

Following the decision of the Board, the text and maps of AT's decision will be finalised and notified in writing to Council by 30 September 2016. AT's decision must be publically notified by Council within 15 working days from that date. Appeals against AT's decision may be lodged with the Environment Court and it is anticipated that the appeals period will run from 21 October to 5 December 2016.

CONFIDENTIAL

Attachment Number	Description
1	Table 1: Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Unitary Plan.

Document ownership

Submitted by	Christine Perrins Strategic Transport Planning Manager	<i>Cm Perrins</i>
Recommended by	Peter Clark Chief Strategy Officer	<i>P Clark</i>
Approved for submission	David Warburton Chief Executive	<i>D Warburton</i>

Glossary

Acronym	Description
AT	Auckland Transport
PAUP	Proposed Auckland Unitary Plan
IHP	Independent Hearings Panel
RMA	Resource Management Act
OBC	Outdoor Boating Club
ATAP	Auckland Transport Alignment Project

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1401	Hauti Drive – Road Extension	AT028	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1402	Weiti Crossing - Penlink	AT024	Reject in part*	Modify the requirement to make it consistent with the notice of requirement for alteration to the existing Designation 167: Weiti Crossing confirmed by Environment Court order ENV-2016-000025.	The alteration to the existing Designation 167: Weiti Crossing has been confirmed by the Environment Court and must be included in the proposed district plan as soon as practicable under section 175 of the Resource Management Act 1991.
1404	Whangaparaoa Road	AT019	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing year lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1408	Rawene Road parking facility	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1418	139 Kitchener Street	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1420	Constellation Station	AT037	Accept	n/a	n/a
1422	Owena Road and Sylvan Avenue intersection for Busway	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1423	Akoranga Station	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1424	Akoranga Station link road	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1425	Akoranga Overbridge	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1426	Westlake Station	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1427	Sunnynook Station	Minor matters and errors	Accept	n/a	n/a
1428	Constellation Drive Station	AT037	Accept	n/a	n/a

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1431	Chivalry Road	AT029	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Note: Correct decimal places in relation to acoustic fence height conditions, i.e. 1.8m instead of 18m and 2.5m instead of 25m.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1433	Fred Taylor Drive corridor	AT038	Accept	n/a	n/a
1435	Waitamata Drive, West Ranui	AT030	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1437	Hobsonville Road corridor	AT036	Accept	n/a	n/a
1438	McNaughton Way parking facility	AT035	Accept	n/a	n/a
1448	Edmonton Road	AT039	Accept	n/a	n/a
1452	Te Atatu South Road	AT026	Accept	n/a	n/a
1453	Titirangi Road	AT031	Reject	Reinstate the designation as per Appendix 5 of John Carter's evidence dated 21 October 2015 with it's reduced width, and with a 15 expiry period as per the notified requirement.	The designation is reasonably necessary to achieve AT's objectives including improved transport services and a wider range of choices to the New Lynn end of Titirangi Road, for example, improved pedestrian environments, cycle routes and bus services, as well as intersection improvements, while managing adverse effects on the environment. The recommendations place undue weight on the pinch-point created by the rail bridge and do not have sufficient regard to the evidence on behalf of AT that a future road widening project could incorporate replacement of the bridge. The pinch-point will not prevent the project and is one point on a 1.5km designation. AT recently undertook an additional study of the corridor and considers that the designation is reasonably necessary to achieve its objectives but can be partly reduced in width. 15 designated property frontages have been acquired. Confirmation of the designation, with the modifications proposed in evidence on behalf of AT, is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1454	West Coast Road	AT032, AT039	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1455	Swanson Road	AT033	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1467	Hobsonville Road	AT036, Minor matters and errors	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1468	Fred Taylor Drive widening	AT038	Reject in part*	Amendments to make AT the requiring authority for any part of the designation in the former Waitakere City Council territorial area and NZTA the requiring authority for any part of the designation in the former Rodney District Council territorial area.	By letter dated 2 July 2013, NZTA transferred financial responsibility for the Waitakere City Council designation to Auckland Transport under section 180 of the RMA. Auckland Transport subsequently gave notice to the Auckland Council that the Waitakere City Council designation should be included in the PAUP under clause 4 of Schedule 1 to the RMA. The PAUP should be modified to reflect these actions.
1469	Albany Highway	Minor matters and errors	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1562	Queen Street widening	AT039	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1571	Beaumont Street	AT023	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1572	Westhaven Drive	AT023	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1573	Halsey Street	AT023	Accept	n/a	n/a
1574	Hepburn to Picton Streets lane	Minor matters and errors	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1587	Newton Road	AT014	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1592	New North Road	AT014	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1594	Upper Queen Street	AT001	Reject	n/a	Section 144(6) of the Local Government (Auckland Transitional Provisions) Act 2010 does not give the Independent Hearing Panel jurisdiction to make a recommendation on existing designations that are included in the proposed plan without modification and on which no submissions are received.
1599	Cheshire Street	AT008	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1609	New North Road	AT021	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1611	Balmoral Road	AT009	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1614	Dominion Road	AT025	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Reinstate the designation in front of 941 Dominion Road, Mount Roskill.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria. In relation to 941 Dominion Road, while the land has been acquired the works are not complete.
1616	Mt Eden Road	AT014	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1618	Great South Road	AT003	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1619	Great South Road	AT015	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Reinstate the designation at 21 Great South Road, Newmarket.	<p>If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.</p> <p>In relation to 21 Great South Road, Newmarket, the notified designation is reasonably necessary to achieve AT's objectives. Removing the designation from 21 Great South Road prior to the detailed design stage, and possibly before construction, will compromise AT's objectives. AT obtained advice following the completion of the Corridor Management Plan identifying that the designation is required to address intersection impacts. 21 Great South Road, Newmarket is the only building on the block <u>not</u> set back behind the designation. Removing one property would compromise the entire section of the designation. Confirmation of the designation as notified is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.</p>
1620	Eastern Transport Corridor	AT034	Reject	Reinstate the designation.	<p>The notified designation is reasonably necessary to achieve AT's objectives. The purpose of the designation is to <u>protect</u> the corridor for <u>future</u> works that have not been completed yet (the designation has no provision for implementation and separate district plan/Resource Management Act 1991 approvals are required for works). All land apart from some of the Outdoor Boating Club's land is in public ownership. Confirmation of the designation as notified is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.</p>
1622	New North Road parking facility	AT001	Accept	n/a	n/a
1623	New North Road parking facility	AT001	Accept	n/a	n/a
1626	Balmoral Road	AT010	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	<p>If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.</p>
1633	Tainui Road	AT017	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	<p>If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.</p>

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1639	Owiaraka Avenue	AT001	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1640	Richardson and Stoddard roads	AT020	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1643	Mt Albert Road	AT002, AT039	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1644	Mt Albert and Sandringham roads	AT007	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1652	Ladies Mile	AT001	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1653	Main Highway	AT001	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1655	Ellerslie Panmure Highway	AT004	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1657	Mt Wellington Highway	AT014	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1668	Manukau Road	minor matters and errors	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1669	Campbell Road	AT039	Accept	n/a	n/a
1671	Mt Smart Road	AT022, AT039	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1673	Manukau Road	AT022	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1677	Great South Road	AT012, AT039	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Reinstate the designation at 540 Great South Road, Otahuhu.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria. In relation to removing the designation from 540 Great South Road, Otahuhu, the notified designation is reasonably necessary to achieve AT's objectives. Removing the designation from 540 Great South Road prior to the detailed design stage, and possibly before construction, will compromise AT's objectives. The designation needs to be retained to implement future widening in a section of Great South Road with challenging circumstances. Removing one property would compromise the entire section of the designation. Confirmation of the designation as notified is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1683	Selwyn Street	AT011	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1685	Neilson Street	AT014	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Correct the error in AT014 that mistakenly references designation 685.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria. The designation reference is 1685 not 685.
1688	Arthur Street	AT006	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Correct the errors in AT006 that mistakenly references designation 1668 (including associated details relating to the designation, such as the location, etc).	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria. The designation reference is 1688 not 1668.
1697	Captain Springs Road	AT014	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1699	Pukemiro Street	AT016	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1700	Captain Springs Road	AT016	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1701	Manukau Esplanade	AT016	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1702	Neilson and Angle Streets	AT039	Accept	n/a	n/a
1703	Church Street	AT041	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Correct the error in AT041 that mistakenly references designation 703.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria. The designation reference is 1703 not 703.
1709	Mason Road to Station Road lane	AT013	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
1806	Beachlands Road	AT018	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period. Reinstate designation over 897 Beachlands Road.	A 30 year lapse period is necessary to achieve AT's objectives. This is because the road is to be upgraded progressively over an extended time as development and traffic growth occurs. Confirmation of the designation as notified with a 30 year lapse period is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria. In relation to removing the designation from 879 Beachlands Road, the notified designation is reasonably necessary to achieve AT's objectives. Reducing the width of the designation from the Beachlands roundabout northwards including 897 Whitford Road prior to the detailed design stage, and possibly before construction, will compromise AT's objectives. Interim works have been carried out along this section of Beachlands Road but the longer term transport network requirements have not been implemented along this section of Beachlands Road and the designation is required for this. Therefore, the designation has not been fully implemented. Further works under the designation will be required in future and as further projected development occurs. Confirmation of the designation as notified is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.
1807	Whitford Bypass	AT005, AT039	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	A 30 year lapse period is necessary to achieve AT's objectives. This is because the road is to be upgraded progressively over an extended time as development and traffic growth occurs. Confirmation of the designation as notified with a 30 year lapse period is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.
1810	Hall Street parking facility	AT035	Accept	n/a	n/a

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
1834	Flat Bush School Road	AT027	Reject in part*	Replace expiry date with the existing lapse period.	If lapse dates are set, rather than a lapse period commencing from the operative date of the plan, appeals may prevent designations from becoming operative which would shorten the period for giving effect to the designation. This could compromise the achievement of AT's objectives and would not be appropriate having regard to Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and the relevant statutory criteria.
R1405	Percy Street parking facility	Minor matters and errors	Accept	Withdraw the requirement.	n/a
R1430	Sir Peter Blake Parade parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified. Delete the access condition in favour of the Takapuna Grammar Rowing Club.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and <u>do not</u> permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions. The recommended condition providing access for the Takapuna Grammar Rowing Club is unnecessary and contains errors. The Club's position has been addressed by their entering into a Memorandum of Understanding with AT allowing them to remain on the Bayswater Pier (designated park and ride site) for another two years while they look for another site. Confirmation of the designation without the recommended condition is consistent with Part 2 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and is appropriate having regard to the relevant statutory criteria.
R1457	College Road, Northcote parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1458	Swanson Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1459	Fenwick Avenue parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1460	Harrison Street, Wellsford parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1461	Channel View parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1462	R78 Hurstmere Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1463	Clarence Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1464	Trading Place parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1465	85 Hurstmere Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1466	Glenmall Place parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1557	Fanshawe Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the advice note and the construction noise, operation noise and access conditions with those as outlined in Appendix 10 of John Carter's evidence dated 21 October 2015.	AT agreed specific conditions with submitters to the Graham Street and Fanshawe Street parking facilities and considers these agreed conditions to be appropriate having regard to the potential adverse effects and the relevant statutory criteria.
R1559	Graham Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the advice note and the construction noise, operation noise and access conditions with those as outlined in Appendix 43 of John Carter's evidence dated 21 October 2015.	AT agreed specific conditions with submitters to the Graham Street and Fanshawe Street parking facilities and considers these agreed conditions to be appropriate having regard to the potential adverse effects and the relevant statutory criteria.

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1568	Onehunga Train Station parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1803	Mahia Road	Minor matters and errors	Accept	Withdraw the requirement.	n/a
R1804	Brampton Court parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit
R1811	Moore Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1812	Tobin Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1813	Wallace Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1814	Osterley Way parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1815	Butler Avenue parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1816	Charles Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1817	Davies Avenue parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1818	Kolmar Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1819	Moore Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1820	Maich Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1821	O'Shannessy Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1822	Davies Avenue parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1823	Coles Crescent parking facility	AT040	Accept	n/a	n/a

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1824	Eric Baker Place parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1825	Kew Lane parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1826	Newbury Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1827	Shirley Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1828	Wellington Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.

TABLE 1 - Recommended Auckland Transport decision on its designations in the Auckland Unitary Plan - 30 September 2016

Designation number	Designation name / location	AUPIHP recommendation reference (e.g. AT002)	AT Decision on Council's recommendation	Summary of AT modification to Council's recommendation	AT reasons for decision
R1829	Massey Avenue parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1830	Wellington Street parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1831	Mangere Town Centre parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions
R1832	Constable Road parking facility	AT040	Reject in part*	Replace the construction noise and operation noise conditions with construction noise standards as notified.	The proposed construction noise and operation noise conditions were not put forward by any submitter, and are operational difficult to comply with (e.g.. noise standards changing four times a day and that are different on Saturdays, and again on Sundays and public holidays). The new parking facility designations seek district plan approval for maintenance and operation (with minor upgrades) of existing / built facilities, and do not permit expansion. Therefore, construction effects will be minor and appropriately managed under AT's proposed conditions

*Where AT has made a decision to "reject in part", AT accepts the Council's recommendations except to the extent the requirements have been modified.