Initial Report

First Name:	Surname:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Alastair	Bell	Communities and Residents assn Inc	+64296306500	alastair@alastairbell.com	l5, 2 St Marlins lane, Grafton	10 10	Waitemata
ument.		This	·	answered by the respondent.			
l agree w							
Text Res	ponse				in a substant	an aignaga Baar	A Annual And Annual
On behalf the Aucklar way that m	of the Communiti nd Council's regi ay not need to ha	es and Residents Assn Inc, I wish to on and visit many wards in any day, a ppen in more rural settings. Further, s, especially if a number of candidat	and so names of wi the length of name:	hich place an election candio s of some of the Wards, Boa	late is standing for need	s to be identified o	on signage in a
On behalf of the Aucklar way that m information	of the Communiti nd Council's regionary ay not need to han non election sign	on and visit many wards in any day, a ppen in more rural settings. Further,	and so names of wh the length of name es chose to use or	hich place an election candio s of some of the Wards, Boa	late is standing for need	s to be identified o	on signage in a

Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/07/2013 1. Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address. First Name: Surname: Organisation/Company: Phone Number: Email Address: Address: Postcode: Local Board Area: Edgar Mallaroy retired 53 Cook Street 1010 2. Please click below if you would like to attach any relevant supporting document. This question was not answered by the respondent. 3. Lagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: This question was not answered by the respondent. 4. I disagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: Text Response As a retired optician I question the sense of what is being done here. Removing all controls on font sizes means that politicians will be able to erect signs with whatever size letters they want. Some will understand the clear dangers that will arise from putting up signs next to busy roads but others will think, like politicians the world over, that they know better than experts and put up signs with far to many words or letters that are too small to be read safely by anyone who is meant to be driving a car. Where I come from in Hull there were laws on what could be put on this sort of sign after a young lad was knocked off his bike by a driver distracted by a sign with too many words on it to be read safely. If Auckland now moves away from placing safety limits on election signs what will it do next and what will it do when someone is injured or killed here? Who will be responsible? What guidance will be given to politicians about what is safe for their signs? Has this idea of giving up on these safe fonts ize limits been put to your Optometrists and Dispensing Opticians Board or any other professional or medical research or advice body to confirm that the proposal is safe? How can anyone with no knowledge of how the eye works or advice from such a person make a decision to remove a safely related regulation just because they have had complaints from self serving politicians. If this is the law for some roads where is the evidence that it is safe to not apply this rule to other roads? A change such as this should be based on expert evidence not uninformed opinions.

5. My further comments on the proposal are:

should be based on expert evidence not uninformed opinions.

Text Response

I only just happened across this website when looking for information about the fishing ban byelaw. I have heard nothing in the newspapers and seen no notices about the changes to this byelaw. I seems like you are trying to hide this change and hope that no one will notice. Is this something you are really allowed to do with byelaws in this country? I disagree that there should not be a chance to speak to my submission at a hearing as I thought this is always a right in relation to passing laws (or why would Dotcom be able to face John Key at a hearing). Please inform me of the pace date and time of the meeting where these matters will be discussed. Edgar Mallaroy BSc(Hons) MCOptom

Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/07/2013

First Name:	Sumame:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Simon	Randall		0212628082	srandall@ihug.co.nz	3/156 Selwyn St, Onehunga, Auckland	1061	Maungakiekie- Tamaki
. Please cli ocument.	ick below if you w	rould like to attach any relevan	tsupporting				
			This question w	ras not answered by the r	espondent.		
. Lagree wi	ith the following p	arts of the proposal. My reaso	ins are:				
_		arts of the proposal. My reaso	ons are:		ALC MARKET		
Text Res	ponse		A generation	amely the the revocation	of clause 7(1)(r) of the Auckland	Transport Election	Signs Bylaw
Text Res l agree with (2013). My and did not	ponse he proposal to r reasons are as fo t recieve sufficient	remove the specified font size ollows:- The insertion of this j l and robust enough public co	s on hoardings, na provision occurred nsultation and disc	l late in the setting of the cussion. Candidates who	bylaw, and not in the original drai b had already designed their hoa	t bylaw. This was a dings based on th	a radical change le long established
Text Res l agree with (2013). My and did not system wer However th	ponse he proposal to r reasons are as fo l recieve sufficient re put at a disadvi iere has not been	remove the specified font size Jlows: - The insertion of this I and robust enough public co antage by these poorly signal a strong case that these prov	s on hoardings, no provision occurred nsultation and dis ed changes Roa isions enhance ro	I late in the setting of the cussion. Candidates who id safety is important, and bad safety, or that hoardir	bylaw, and not in the original drai b had already designed their hoar d I agree with measures that can ngs with smaller fonts have create	t bylaw. This was a dings based on th demonstrably impl ed a sufficient enou	a radical change le long established rove road safety. ugh safety issue to
Text Resp l agree with (2013). My and did not However th warrant a cl process. G	ponse the proposal to for reasons are as for trecieve sufficient re put at a disadva tere has not been hange along thes roups of candida	remove the specified font size Ilows: - The insertion of this J I and robust enough public co antage by these poorly signal a strong case that these prov e lines These provisions do tes would have been unable to	s on hoardings, na provision occurred soultation and disk ed changes, - Roa isions enhance ro o not allow for hoa o display their nam	I late in the setting of the cussion. Candidates who do safety is important, and bad safety, or that hoa rdir urdings lo meet the needs nes, the name of the area	bylaw, and not in the original draf b had already designed their hoar il agree with measures that can ngs with smaller fonts have create of candidates, and so undermin and position they were standing	t bylaw. This was a dings based on th demonstrably impl ad a sufficient enou es the robustness	a radical change le long established rove road safety. ugh safety issue to of the election
Text Resp l agree with (2013). My and did not However th warrant a cl process. G	ponse the proposal to for reasons are as for trecieve sufficient re put at a disadva tere has not been hange along thes roups of candida	remove the specified font size ollows:- The insertion of this j and robust enough public co antage by these poorly signal a strong case that these prov e lines These provisions do	s on hoardings, na provision occurred soultation and disk ed changes, - Roa isions enhance ro o not allow for hoa o display their nam	I late in the setting of the cussion. Candidates who do safety is important, and bad safety, or that hoa rdir urdings lo meet the needs nes, the name of the area	bylaw, and not in the original draf b had already designed their hoar il agree with measures that can ngs with smaller fonts have create of candidates, and so undermin and position they were standing	t bylaw. This was a dings based on th demonstrably impl ad a sufficient enou es the robustness	a radical change le long established rove road safety. ugh safety issue to of the election
Text Res l agree with (2013). My and did not system wer However th warrant a process. G essential el	ponse the proposal to n reasons are as fo recieve sufficient re put at a disadvi ere has not been hange along thes roups of candida lements in a hoar	remove the specified font size of the specified font size and robust enough public co antage by these poorly signal a strong case that these prov e lines These provisions d les would have been unable to rding. This process would have	s on hoardings, na rovision occurred nsultation and dis ed changes Roa isions enhance ro not allow for hoa o display their nam e privileged indivic	I late in the setting of the cussion. Candidates who do safety is important, and bad safety, or that hoa rdir urdings lo meet the needs nes, the name of the area	bylaw, and not in the original draf b had already designed their hoar il agree with measures that can ngs with smaller fonts have create of candidates, and so undermin and position they were standing	t bylaw. This was a dings based on th demonstrably impl ad a sufficient enou es the robustness	a radical change le long established rove road safety. ugh safety issue to of the election
Text Res l agree with (2013). My and did not system wer However th warrant a process. G essential el	ponse the proposal to n reasons are as fo recieve sufficient re put at a disadvi ere has not been hange along thes roups of candida lements in a hoar	remove the specified font size Ilows: - The insertion of this J and robust enough public co antage by these poorly signal a strong case that these prov e lines These provisions do tes would have been unable to	s on hoardings, na rovision occurred nsultation and dis ed changes Roa isions enhance ro not allow for hoa o display their nam e privileged indivic	I late in the setting of the cussion. Candidates who do safety is important, and bad safety, or that hoa rdir urdings lo meet the needs nes, the name of the area	bylaw, and not in the original draf b had already designed their hoar il agree with measures that can ngs with smaller fonts have create of candidates, and so undermin and position they were standing	t bylaw. This was a dings based on th demonstrably impl ad a sufficient enou es the robustness	a radical change le long established rove road safety. ugh safety issue to of the election

Initial Report

First Nan	ne: Sumame:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Are
mike	jolley		836 5464	jolley.haha@xtra.co.nz	7 Pittville pce,henderson	0612	hesn/massey
. Please c ocument.	lîck below if you w	rould like to attach any relevant	supporting				
			This question was n	ot answered by the respon	dent.		
. lagree w	vith the following p	arls of the proposal. My reasor	1s are:				
			This question was n	ot answered by the respon	dent,		
. Idisagre	e wilh the followin	g parts of the proposal. My rea:	sons are:				
Trut Day	ponse	AND AND ADDRESS OF THE		100 100 100 million	A COM	THE YEAR	STATUS STATUS

5. My further comments on the proposal are:

Initial Report

First Name	: Surname:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Are
Neil	Henderson		09 8180037	neil@henderson.gen.nz	36 Evans Rd Glen Eden		Waitakere ranges
Please click cument.	k below if you wo	ould like to atlach any relevant	suppo rtìng				
			This question was r	not answered by the respon	dent.		
. lagree with	i the following pa	arts of the proposal. My reaso					
Text Respo lagree with p	onse	he bylaw be amended and the	ns are:			nformation can l	be supplied on the
Text Respo I agree with p billboards to	onse roposal 2 ;that ti inform the public	he bylaw be amended and the	ns are: conirol revoked,i ask			nformation can l	be supplied on the

Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/07/2013

Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address.

Name:	Surname:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Johannes	Hulsdouw	NZ Sign &DIsplay Association& Digital Factory Ltd	09 8278805	jo@digitalfactory.co.nz	3 Binstead Road New Lynn, P.O. Box 21024 Glen Eden	0641	Whau
Please cli cument.	ick below if you	u would like to attach any relevant supp	oo rlìng				
File Uploa	ad			File Type	File Si	20	V. HU
<u>Submissio</u>	n Election sign	is ip o		image/jpeg	358.1KE	3	
lagree wi Text Res		g parls of the proposal. My reasons ar	e:				
Text Res	ponse	g parls of the proposal. My reasons ar e Issues, That would be difficult to poli	1130.1				
Text Res These are o	ponse common sens		ce				
Text Res These are o	ponse common sens	e Issues, That would be difficult to poli wing parts of the proposal. My reasons	ce 8 are:	l answered by the respond	lent.		
Text Res These are o I disagree	po nse common sens with the follow	e Issues, That would be difficult to poli wing parts of the proposal. My reasons	ce 8 are:	t answered by the respond	dent.		
Text Res These are o I disagree	common sens	e Issues, That would be difficult to poli wing parts of the proposal. My reasons Thi	ce 8 are:	l answered by the respond	dent.		

Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/07/2013

 Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address.

First Name:	Sumame:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Pat and Bernie	Taylor	Grange Motor Lodge	09 2778280	grange.lodge.motel@xtra.co.nz	1 Grange Rd, Papatoetoe	2025	Olara East Tamaki
Please cile	ck below if you w	ould like to attach any relevant	supporting				
			This question w	vas not answered by the respondent.			
l agree wit	h the following p	arts of the proposal. My reaso	ns are:				
			This question w	vas not answered by the respondent.			
Idisanree	with the followin	g parls of the proposal. My rea	sons ara'				
raioagroo		g parts of the proposal my rea					
Taxt Baas							
Text Resp				ars we have operated the business v	1.55 J. M		A STATE OF

5. My further comments on the proposal are:

Text Response

We are concerned that any hoardings erected will be vandalised by taggers and other miscreants. Our business relies upon our appearance and tagged and damaged signage will turn people away in droves. We would like the opportunity to speak on our submission

Initial Report

 Last Modified: 08/07/2013

 1. Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address.

First Name:	Sumame:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Tracy	Kirkley	Auckland Council	021287 1666	tracy.kirkley@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz	185A Te Atatu Road, Te Atatu South	0610	Hendersor Massey
• Please cli	ck below if you	would like to attach any releva	ntsupporting				
			This question	was not answered by the respondent.			
. lagree wi	th the following	parts of the proposal. My reas	ons are:				
		parts of the proposal. My reas	ons are:				
Text Res	oonse	parts of the proposal. My reas		ing.		at the same	No. of Street, or other
Text Res	oonse			ing.			
Text Resp lagree with	oonse revoking of rule		nt size and spaci	ing.			
Text Resp lagree with	oonse revoking of rule	9 7 (r) in relation to lettering fo	nt size and spaci easons are:				
Text Resp lagree with	oonse revoking of rule	9 7 (r) in relation to lettering fo	nt size and spaci easons are:	ing. was not answered by the respondent.			

Initial Report Last Modified: 08/07/2013 1. Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address. Phone Number: First Name: Lo cal Bo are Address: Organisation/Company: Email Address: Postcode: Sumame: linda.cooper2010@gmall.com 41 Renoir Street, West Harbour, Auckland 0618 Upper Harbour 021440281 Linda Cooper 2. Please click below if you would like to attach any relevant supporting document. This question was not answered by the respondent. 3. Lagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: Text Response Removal of font sizes and spacing on election signs. Candidates are keen to have their message seen and will not make the letters so small that they can not be seen by passing traffic unlike some council event signs that are so small that it is imposible to read them unless one is standing on the footpath beside them. It is also difficult to have multi-candidate signs viable if the font has to be so large as to leave important parts of the message off the billboard. Road speeds is also a strange way of measuring how big font sizes should be. Too confusing. Very sensible that you are proposing change. ${\bf 4}$. I disagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: Text Response N/A 5. My further comments on the proposal are: Text Response

Not good that only one submission from one rural local board has had such a dire effect that these changes will be enacted only 24 hours before signs can be erected. Also no on e else had a chance to comment on that submission. It seems elected members/encumbents had a biased advantage over other candidates. Not democratic.

Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/07/2013 Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address. Local Board Area: First Name Phone Number Surname: Organisation/Company: Email Address: Address: kbrady@xtra.co.nz P O Box 121456, Henderson, Auckland Henderson Brenda Brady 0275640566 0650 Massey 2. Please click below if you would like to attach any relevant supporting document. File Upload application/msword Submission to amendment Election Signs Ev-Jav. 2013 Brenda Brady.doc 25KB ${\bf 3.}$. Lagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: Text Response That Section 7 (1) (r) is rescinded in full. The minor amendment that allows for illumination of commercial billboards. 4. I disagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: This question was not answered by the respondent. 5. My further comments on the proposal are: Text Response The Auckland Transport Election Signs Bylaw 2013, 7 (1) (r) is prescriptive and impossible to comply with for any of the smaller signs. Most of the smaller signs cannot meet the conditions of this by-law and unless we can afford 3m signs, we are not going to be able to fit very much on the †complying ' signage. It is very complicated and very hard to comply with and this is not acceptable.

Initial Report

Last Modified: 08/07/2013

1. Your contact detailsFor your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address.

First Name:	Sumaine:	Organisation/Company:	Phona Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Margarel	Miles	Chair Upper Harbour local Board	021587111	Margaret.mlles@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz	229 Dairy Flat Highway Albany	0632	Upper Harbou
Please cli cument.	ck below if you	would like to attach any releva	nt supporting				
			This question	was not answered by the respondent.			
			This question	was not answered by the respondent.			
. lagree wi	the following	parts of the proposal. My reas		was not answered by the respondent.			
. lagree wi	the following	parts of the proposal. My reas		was not answered by the respondent.			
. lagree wi Text Res		parts of the proposal. My reas		was not answered by the respondent.			
Text Res The Upper	oonse Harbour Local E	Board supports the proposed a	ons are:	the Auckland Transport Election bylaw 2013	relating to font size fo	r election signage	and
Text Res The Upper	oonse Harbour Local E		ons are:	the Auckland Transport Election bylaw 2013	relating to font size fo	r election signage	and
Text Res The Upper illumination	oonse Yarbour Local E of billboards o	Board supports the proposed a n commercial/private propertie	ons are: Immendment to Is. The reason bu	the Auckland Transport Election bylaw 2013	relating to font size fo	r election signage	and
Text Res The Upper illumination	oonse Yarbour Local E of billboards o	Board supports the proposed a	ons are: Immendment to Is. The reason bu	the Auckland Transport Election bylaw 2013	relating to font size fo	r election signage	and

5. My further comments on the proposal are:

Initial Report

First Name:	Surname:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Elizabeth	Grimmer		09-838-9327	egrimmer@xtra.co.nz	2/212 Te Atatu Rd Te Atatu South	0610	Henderson/Masse
Please clic cument.	sk below if you w	ould like to attach any relevan		as not answered by the res	popdat		
		arts of the proposal. My reaso					
. I agree wit	n me lollowing p	ans of the proposal. My reaso		as not answered by the res	pondent.		
l disagree	with the followin	g parts of the proposal. My rea	asons are:				
Text Resp	onse					With Labor	
printed unde why are ther proposed ch	er the existing rule re only 3 days in nanges and then	es as signs can go up on Satu which to make submissions v contacted Ak Transport by tele decision was known It is too	urday 10th August a when Bylaw was ap ephone and e.mail late to change as r	and given the lack of sites oproved on 30th May. I con I and have never received not all candidates will know	Ak Council website and in son intend to do this. The rules car ntacted Ak Council on Friday 2r any communication from them w of changes although Elected I have been able to use my old	notbe changed i nd Augustand wa . If I had i I could X Members were a	n mid stream and s not told of any nave stopped the

013 **Initial Report** Last Modified: 08/07/2013 Your contact details For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address, Local Board Area: First Name: Surname: Organisation/Company: Phone Number: Address: Email Address: Postcode: 092769022 0274914969 brent@cmca.co.nz 8 Nolan Road, Greenlane, Auckland Brent Murdoch 1051 2. Please click below if you would like to attach any relevant supporting document File Upload application/msword 21.5KB ${\bf 3.}~$ I agree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: Text Response Clause 3 - 1 agree with the proposed changes to Clause K and Clause L regarding illumination of commercial billboards. Candidates who choose to use existing commercial billboards should be applauded and rewarded in their efforts in minimising disruptive and normally unsightly street signage. 4. I disagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are: Text Response Clause 3 - I disagree with the proposed change in the size of lettering in Clause R. Reasons - See Attached 5. My further comments on the proposal are; Text Response See Atlached

		our submission to be valid you Il and/or email address.	ı must include yo	ur			
First Name:	Sumame:	Organisation/Company;	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Lindsay	Waugh	Chair, Kaipatiki Local Board	: 09 484 8383	lindsay.waugh@aucklandcouncil.govl.nz	90 Bentley Avenue, Glenfield		Kaipaliki
locument.		would like to attach any relevar					
			This question	was not answered by the respondent.			
. lagree w	In the following	parts of the proposal. My reas		was not answered by the respondent.			
3. lagree w Text Res		parts of the proposal. My reas		was not answered by the respondent.			
Text Res The Chair o	oonse f the Kaipatiki Lo	ocal Board: • Supports the p	ons are: proposed change	us to the bylaw which will remove unnecessa		using requireme	nts to the
Text Res The Chair o	oonse f the Kaipatiki Lo	ocal Board: • Supports the p	ons are: proposed change			using requireme	nts to the
Text Res The Chair of permitted s	oonse f the Kaipatiki Lo ize of lettering o	ocal Board: • Supports the p	ons are: proposed change that election sign	us to the bylaw which will remove unnecessa		using requireme	nts to the
Text Res The Chair of permitted s	oonse f the Kaipatiki Lo ize of lettering o	ocal Board: • Supports the p n election signs, and to clarify	ons are: proposed change that election sign asons are:	us to the bylaw which will remove unnecessa		using requireme	nts to the
Text Res The Chair of permitted s	oonse f the Kaipatiki Lo ize of lettering o	ocal Board: • Supports the p n election signs, and to clarify	ons are: proposed change that election sign asons are:	es to the bylaw which will remove unnecessa is on commercial bill boards may continue to		using requireme	nts to the

Text Response

The Chair of the Kaipatiki Local Board: • Requests that candidates should not be penalised if they have failed to meet any criteria in the bylaw or the amendments due to the mixed messages that have been in the public realm. • Notes that new candidates have been particularly disadvantaged as they have not been privy to the discussions and have been forced to reprint material at some cost in order to comply.

Initial Report

First Name:	Sumame:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address;	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Grant	Gillon		0274801835	grantgillon@ihug.co.nz	9 Kia Ora Rd, Beach Haven	0626	
 Please d ocument. 	ick below if you wa	ould like to attach any relevant		is not answered by the respo	ndent.		
. lagree w	ith the following pa	arts of the proposal. My reason		is not answered by the respo	ndent.		
. I disagree	e with the following	g parts of the proposal. My rea	sons are:				
			This question wa	is not answered by the respo	ndent.		

Dear AT, I wish to submit on the STATEMENT OF PROPOSAL AMENDMENT OF THE AUCKLAND TRANSPORT ELECTION SIGNS BYLAW 2013 I fully support the proposed amendments, Please accepot this as a formal submission, many thanks

First Name	: Sumame:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address;	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
Biju	Gangadharan			biju.g@gmail.com			
Please click	c below if you would	like to attach any relevant support	ing				
		This qu	lestion was not answere	d by the respondent.			
3. Lagree with	the following parts o	f the proposal. My reasons are:					
		This qu	lestion was not answere	d by the respondent.			
. I disagree v	vith the following par	is of the proposal. My reasons an	2,				

5. My further comments on the proposal are:

Initial Report

First Name:	Surname:	Organisation/Compa	ny: Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcoda:	Local Board Area:
christine	rose		0210563784	chrisline.rose25@gmail.com	355 Foster Rd, RD1 Kumeu	0891	Rodney
. Please clic	k bel ow if you w	vould like to attach any rele	evant supporting				
				as not answered by the responder	at.		
			rnis question w	as not answered by the responder			
			inis question w	as not answered by the responder			
3. Lagree with	h the following p	parts of the proposal. My re		as not answered by the responder			
3. Lagree with	h the following p	parts of the proposal. My re		as not answered by the responder			
3. Lagree with Text Resp	and the state of the state	parts of the proposal. My re		as not answered by the responder			
Text Resp The removal fair represen	onse I of font size rule Italion of informa	es. These rules are unfair a ation for voters and therefo	aasons are; and discriminatory and ore fail to provide any	d have no sound basis. They disc benefit. The rules are arbitrary bec t require people to put up the large	iminate against people v ause they only apply to a	election signs not	all the other signs
Text Resp The removal fair represen that litter the in.	onse I of font size rule Itation of inform landscape, The	es. These rules are unfair a ation for voters and therefo	aasons are: and discriminatory and ore fail to provide any on't improve safety bu	d have no sound basis. They disc benefit. The rules are arbitrary bec	iminate against people v ause they only apply to a	election signs not	all the other signs
Text Resp. The removal fair represen that litter the in.	onse I of font size rule Itation of inform landscape, The	es. These rules are unfair i alion for voters and therefo y are un-necessary and wo	aasons are: and discriminatory and ore fail to provide any on't improve safety bu y reasons are:	d have no sound basis. They disc benefit. The rules are arbitrary bec	iminate against people v ause they only apply to o st possible signs just to	election signs not	all the other signs

Initial Report

First Na	me: Surna	me:	Organisation/Company:	Phone Number:	Email Address:	Address:	Postcode:	Local Board Area:
JB	Clark			0272527543	ylangy@xlra.co.nz	PO Box 13399 Onehunga	1061	Maungakiekie-Tamak
	click below if y	ouwo	uld like to attach any relevant s	supporling				
ocument,								
				This question was no	t answered by the res	pondent.		
3. Lagree	with the follow	ing pa	rls of the proposal. My reason	s are:				
				This question was no	t answered by the res	pondent.		
Ldisaar	ee with the foll	owina	parts of the proposal. My reas	ons are:				
ri roisagi	CC 1141 412 1011	omig	para oraic proposal wy reas					
				This question was no	t answered by the res	pondent.		
. My furth	ercomments	on the	proposal are:					

I agree with the proposal to remove the specified font sizes on hoardings, namely the revocation of clause 7(1)(r) of the Auckland Transport Election Signs Bylaw (2013). For the following reasons: - The insertion of this provision occurred loo late in the setting of the bylaw, and was not in the original draft bylaw. This was a radical change and did not receive sufficient and/or robust enough public consultation and discussion. Candidates who had already designed their hoardings based on the long established system were then put at a disadvantage by these poorly signalled changes. - Road safety is extremely important. and l agree with measures that can demonstrably improve aspects of road safety. However there has not been a strong case that these provisions enhance road safety, or that hoardings with smaller fonts have created a sufficient enough safety issue to warrant a change along these lines. - These provisions do not allow for hoardings to meet the needs of candidates, and so undermines the robustness of the election process. Groups of candidates would have been unable to display their names, the name of position they were slanding for plus a photograph, which I believe are all essential elements of a hoarding. This requirement would have privileged individual candidates over teams of candidates and would therefore be unfair.

Have your say An Auckland Council Organisation



Proposed changes to the Election Signs Bylaw 2013

Auckland Transport intends amending its Auckland Transport Election Signs Bylaw 2013.

Please read the Statement of Proposal for the proposed changes, or a summary, before making your submission.

Auckland Transport will accept submissions on the proposed changes between 6 August and 8 August 2013.

Submissions close at 4pm on 8 August 2013. Submissions can be made online or delivered to main reception at Auckland Transport, 6

Henderson Valley Road, Henderson, Auckland 0612.

Please note that as there has been recent consultation of this matter, the category of people likely to be affected by the change is limited, and the change itself would be minor and permissive in nature Auckland Transport will not be holding public hearings.

In accordance with the Land Transport Act 1998, all submissions (including personal details of submitters - names, phone numbers and addresses) will be made public.

Your contact details

For your submission to be valid you must include your full name together with a postal and/or email address. Please print clearly.

First name: Brent	Surname: Murdoch				
Organisation/Company:	Contact phone: (09) 2769022 (027) 4914 9	€9			
Postal address: 8 Nolan Road, Greenlane,	e, Auckland				
	Post code: 1051				
Email address: brent@cmca.co.nz	Local board area:				
Email address: brent@cmca.co.nz	Local board area:				

Please tick "yes" if you have attached any additional pages to your submission.

Yes M No 🗖

Your submission

 I agree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are:
Clause 3 - I agree with the proposed changes to Clause K and
Clause L regarding illumination of commercial billboards.
Candidates who choose to use existing commercial billboards
should be applauded and rewarded in their efforts in
minimising disruptive and normally unsightly street signage.
2. I disagree with the following parts of the proposal. My reasons are:
Clause 3 - I disagree with the proposed change in the size
of lettering in Clause R
Reasons - See Attached Page 1
Reasons - See Attached Page 1
3. My further comments on the proposal are:
o. My futtier comments on the proposal are.
Cap Attacked Deca 2
See Attached Page 2
Date of submission: Signature:

Thank you for taking the time to submit your feedback.

Checklist for submitters:

Have you included your full name and postal and/or email address?
 Have you attached any additional pages or supporting documents?

Page 1

Reasons - Clause 2

I do accept that the change in letter size is appropriate. The existing 7 (1) R should remain for safety reasons.

While it is true proposed changes do not impose any additional requirements they do remove a major safety control which ensures drivers are not distracted when driving and viewing the signs.

Both drivers and pedestrian safety could be compromised.

Most of the election sign sites are around very busy intersections and roads and safety must be the major consideration.

The minimal size letters helps promote the safety of such signs and should remain.

Auckland Transport should not remove the only traffic safety control the bylaw currently has.

Candidates should appreciate the major concessions that the signs bylaw already gives such a small group of the public. Such benefits are not given to any group who need signage.

Page 2

Statement of Proposal

In Clause 1 of your Statement of Proposal you refer to Section 22 AD (2) of the Land Transport Act 1998 (LTA)

That Section requires you to comply with Section 83 of the Local Government Act 2002 (LGA)

Section 83 of the LGA requires a period of 1 month to be given for submissions.

You have only provided 3 days, that is incorrect.

Please advise under which Act such short notice can be given.

In your Clause 5 and 6 you try to justify your short notice due to impending election and the recent consultation process. I made a submission on the new bylaw and you failed to include it in the process. I am concerned that other submissions were likewise omitted. Therefore I do not consider you "concluded the consultation process" correctly.

I can accept the billboard illumination proposal as acceptable as they are on commercial billboards set up for advertising purposes.

From: Busola Martins [mailto:Busola.Martins@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz]
Sent: Thursday, 8 August 2013 9:19 p.m.
To: Owena Schuster (AT)
Subject: Resolutions from Whau LB for AT

Hi Owena,

Please see resolutions for AT below:

12 Auckland Transport Update Report – Whau Local Board

Resolution number WH/2013/127

MOVED by Member DQ Battersby, seconded Member KM Davie: _

That the Whau Local Board:

a) Receives the Auckland Transport Report for Whau Local Board.

CARRIED

32.1 Auckland Transport Election Signs ByLaws 2013

Resolution number WH/2013/152 MOVED by Member SJ Weir, seconded Member KM Davie: _ That Whau Local Board:

a) Supports the proposed Auckland Transport Election Signs Amendment Bylaw No 1 of 2013 which proposes that all references to specific font sizes on all election signs be removed from the Bylaw, and that the illumination of election billboard signs on commercial properties is permitted.

CARRIED

Regards,

'Busola Martins Local Board Democracy Advisor (West) Governance Division | Auckland Council 6 Henderson Valley Road, Henderson DDI: 8393514 | Ext: (42) 7076

Email: Busola.Martins@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz



CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept responsibility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.