

Minutes of Meeting

Subject: Waitemata Safe Routes: Richmond Road area - Community Liaison Group Meeting 06

Date and time 5 September 2018, 1.30pm

Venue Auckland Transport, 20 Viaduct Harbour Road

Attendees	Ken Lee Jones	AT Manager
	David Wilkie	CLG Chair, Xigo
	Bruce Thomas	AT
	Julie Boucher	AT
	Jacob Faull	Chair GLRA
	Michael Hawes	Boffa Miskell
	Lisa Mein	Boffa Miskell
	Hanna O'Donoghue	Boffa Miskell
	Karen Soich	Resident
	Gael Baldcock	Resident
	Stephen Cohen	Resident
	Bull Gruar	Resident
	Chris De Lator	Resident
	Ashleigh Ogilvie-Lee	Resident
	Barry Jujnovich	Retailer
	Jennifer Stuart	Resident
	Mike Howie	Retailer
	Barry Jujnovich	Retailer
	Penny Sufuiva	GL Resident
	Carol Gunn	Grey Lynn Farmers Market
	Barbara Cuthbert	Bike Auckland
	Dan Salmon	GLRA
	Emma O'Kane	Stellar Project Management
	James Ellison	Beca
	Julie Stevens	Retailer

Apologies	Will Williams	Beca, Design Team Leader
	Chris Conner	AT Project Manager
	Jolisa Gracewood	Bike Auckland

Copies to	David Nelson	AT
	Tarun Ahuja	AT

1. Welcome and Introductions –

David welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Ken Lee-Jones noted Joe Schady is no longer managing the project, the AT Project Manager is now Chris Connor, with Ken Lee-Jones as Manager.

David reminded everyone of the rules for fair meetings:

Minutes of Meeting

- Listen generously to others and consider their opinion – please don't interrupt others, have respect. David as the chair will manage the meeting.
- Respect the process – it is a process that is tried and proven. Address any comments through David as Chair.
- Avoid repetition. If someone has made your point, feel free to offer support and emphasis, but let's not spent time repeating.
- Address the issue and not the person. Avoid the emotion and stick to the issue.

Will be some presentations, please hold questions until after the presentations made

Agreed no recording of the meeting or sharing on social media.

2. Matters arising

Update on actions from previous minutes

Action	Status
1 Draft Minutes to be circulated to attendees along with presentations made: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Bus Stops (MR Cagney)• Town centre (Boffa Miskell)	Completed
2 Drainage decisions to be closed out and a way forward agreed with affected retailers.	Addressed within current design solution, also ongoing
3 AT to talk to affected parties where bus stops are planned to be relocated to gather their inputs and views.	At today's meeting
4 Provide agreed prelim way forward for streetscape design for CLG consultation	Topic for today's agenda
5 CLG feedback sought from CLG participants on Boffa concept design	Feedback received and considered within the

Understood there was going to be a summary of feedback provided?

- AT has feedback from previous engagement, not the CLG group. AT has summarised this and it will be circulated with the minutes.

3. Process update – Ken Lee-Jones, AT

Powerpoint presentation from Ken Lee-Jones as attached to the minutes.

There has been considerable change since April contributing to the delay between the anticipated CLG meeting in June and now including changes to design standards based on new facilities in other areas having been recently completed and a desire to understand how they have been functioning

This has led to a shift in how AT consider cycling facilities, particularly regarding design standards.

Budgets have also been revisited to align with quality expectations

Anticipate a new roads and streets framework to be published in the next few months.

Discussion

Request for the new manuals which have influenced design?

- Not at this stage. Manuals are currently with the CEO for final approval. Anticipate this will be released in a couple of months

Can we have a draft?

- Can't be released until the CEO has provided approval
- New document will supersede the current Auckland Transport Code of Practice (ATCOP). Boffa Miskell has considered parts of the document as it has been evolving.

Can we get a summary regarding what relates to this project?

- Not at this stage. No summaries available.

4. Project Update – Boffa Miskell

Information about the aspirational design was circulated.

Noted bus stop locations and crossing locations are still being considered.

West Lynn Village

Bus stops:

- Bus stop on the western side agreed through previous CLG and engagement with businesses
- 4 viable options for the eastern side (5th option eliminated due to operational requirements).
- Noted all bus stops are proposed as 'in-line' stops meaning they stop in the traffic flow. This helps slow traffic and improves opportunities for people waiting to exit side roads.

Crossing points:

- Three locations, with options 2 and 3 being in the same location and the difference being how the cycleway interacts with the crossing and retention of an existing tree.

Carparking

- Note that the parking numbers shown on the drawings in the presentation and handout are not certain. Final numbers are still to be determined through more detailed design.
- Overall there is a proposed increase in parking numbers, however this does need to be confirmed through more detailed design.

Looking for planting to be a feature through the villages so traffic and cycleway elements do not dominate the area.

Countdown

Feedback was that parking on both sides of the roads is highly valued so have sought to retain this in the options developed.

Peel St Roundabout

Slightly realigned roundabout with some speed tables.

Richardson Road/Surry Cres Intersection

Focus is on providing safe connection for pedestrians and cyclists through the intersection. A signalised intersection with pedestrian phases on all legs is the current preferred option to achieve this. A roundabout does not work with traffic flows and does not provide any priority for pedestrians and cyclists.

Discussion

Is the Peel St roundabout proposed to stay in the same location?

- May stay where it is or may need to be slightly moved

Is the bus stop near Peel St at the same place?

- Moves slightly to utilise the canopy of the little grocer for shelter to improve amenity for users

How high is a kerb separator between parking and the cycleway?

- Around the same height as a kerb – 150mm. It's also suitable for rubbish bins on collection days.

5. Questions

Where are rubbish bins going? There is room to accommodate these on the separator island with is 600 – 800m wide.

What is the loss of parking in areas?

- It varies in each area. There will be some loss of parking, but don't think it will be significant.

In Warnock St where the difficult to access carparks are, there is nothing shown. And where is loading shown?

- Detail still to be considered in terms of loading. This might affect parking
- Still exploring opportunities for detail around parking design to ensure it's safe.

Bus stop in the village is not supported. Ashley has 200 petitions with only 5 supporting a bus stop in the village.

- There will be a 3-week period for feedback from members of this group regarding what has been presented. Following this, there will be a public engagement process where the wider public can make comment.

What is the timeframe for engagement?

- To be answered later in the meeting

What does 'in the middle of the village mean'?

- Will mean different things to different people.

Can we have a summary of the feedback on bus stops provided by the CLG?

- Feedback has been mixed with a range of views covering all locations. Two locations were presented to the CLG in April, one of those locations is now eliminated by AT for operational reasons.

- Gael noted that there is a lot of support from the community for the option that has been eliminated

There is still restricted parking in the village shown with temporary signage. When is there going to be a permanent solution?

- This is an ongoing discussion. AT has prepared a report for internal approval. There has also been some engagement regarding a parking zone. AT looking at an interim solution prior to long term.

How is AT going to decide when these works will happen?

- As soon as they are approved internally they can be scheduled.

Will Richmond Road and Surrey Cres have traffic signals?

- That is currently the preferred option

Can you please explain the drawing showing the Surrey Cres/Richmond Road intersection, in particular the green marking at the intersection?

- Green markings show cyclist movements

The plans are hard to read with black print on the road. Gael would like a set of plans the same size as on display in the meeting (A0).

- No additional plans to be provided at this stage. AT will have larger set of plans available during public engagement

Gael would like to pay for a set of larger plans so she can better discuss proposals with the community

- AT does not support that. Larger plans will be displayed during public consultation.

Jacob would like Boffa Miskell to come and present to his group. Can this happen?

- Boffa Miskell are available to help facilitate discussions with groups and will liaise to arrange a meeting.
- AT noted, that there will be a 6-week public engagement with a larger set of display during that period.

AT would like comments by 24th September on designs provided at today's meeting.

6. Next Steps – AT

AT would like comments by 24th September on designs provided at today's meeting

Public re-engagement 12 Nov – 9 Dec

Results of engagement communicated end Feb 2019

Re-engagement will include:

- Information and education via advertising, webpage, brochures, media, and signage
- Engagement via online survey, public drop-in sessions

4-week engagement period. AT will consider feedback and make decisions. AT will communicate feedback received and decisions made at the end of Feb.

Discussion

Ashley remains concerned the bus stop location eliminated by AT for operational reasons will not be an option proposed for people to comment on.

Minutes of Meeting

- This is a decision made by AT for operational reasons. Note that there are opportunities to provide feedback prior to AT making final decisions.

Noted there is a limited budget and what is presented is aspirational beyond what the current budget is. We do need to identify what we want to do now, and what we can seek to progress as more budget becomes available.

- There is the opportunity to look for further funding via Local Board and other mechanisms

Any further questions, please communicate those to Bruce.

7. Any other business

Nil.

Actions

What	Who	When
1 Draft Minutes to be circulated to attendees with: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Power point presentation from Ken	Bruce Thomas	By 20 September
2 Provide feedback from previous engagement	Bruce Thomas	By 20 September

Meeting closed 3.00pm.